

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/530,008	04/24/2000	YASUSHI KANEKO	01165.0781	7523
22852	7590 07/03/2003			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
LLP 1300 I STRI	,		NGUYEN, HOAN C	
· WASHING	ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
	09/530,008	KANEKO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Peri d for Reply	i n appears on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, in the set of extended period for reply will be set of extended period for extended period for extended period for extended period for extended pe	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) 17 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to 18 by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-14</u> is/are pending in		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 9-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 3,5,7 and 8 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	n and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed or		pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	• •	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority doc 	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in Applic	cation No
	he priority documents have been reco onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not reco	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	.948) 5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants cancelled claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 1-13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In a light of applicant's arguments, claims 1 and 12-13 cites "the direction of the twist angle of molecule orientation of the twisted phase difference board is <u>reverse to</u> the direction (in opposite direction) of the twisted orientation (greater than 180°) of the (STN) liquid crystal molecule of the liquid crystal devices". Thus, the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board <u>is not able to be</u> smaller than the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices by 10° to 40°.

For example, if the twisted angle of the (STN) liquid crystal molecule of the liquid crystal devices is 200°, and the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board is about –230°, the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board should be smaller

Art Unit: 2871

than the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices by $(200^{\circ} - (-230^{\circ})) = 430^{\circ}$, which cannot be smaller than the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices by 10° to 40° (please, see **Response to Arguments** below).

Claims 2-11 and 14 are rejected since they depend on the infinite claim.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicants need to clarify the feature what is "a preferential view angle of the liquid crystal device". Is it simply a view angle? And what special about the a preferential view angle that sets to one direction at 2:30 or 4:30 or 7:30 or 10:30 o'clock? a preferential view angle is viewing at ONLY this angle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.



Art Unit: 2871

1. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shigeki et al. (JP03294821) submitted by applicant in IDS and PCT report.

In regard to claims 1 and 2, Shigeki et al. teach (Figs 1 and 2) a liquid crystal display apparatus comprising

- a first substrate having a first transparent electrode
- a second substrate having a second transparent electrode,
- liquid crystal devices holding a nematic liquid crystal layer which is twist-oriented
 by an STN-twist angle between the first and second substrates;
- a first polarization board (6) provided for an outside of the first substrate;
- a twisted phase difference board (3) provided for the outside of the second substrate and having liquid crystal polymer layers;
- a second polarization board (2) provided for the outside of the twisted phase difference board;

characterized in that,

- the direction of the twist angle of molecule orientation 14 of the twisted phase difference board (3) is reverse to the direction (in opposite direction) of the twisted orientation 13 of the liquid crystal molecule of the liquid crystal devices,
- the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board about 200° with heat treatment for the manufacture (applicant example 3, Table 3). The twist angle of the liquid crystal devices about 230°, which is in a range of 180°-270° according to claim 2. Therefore, the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board is



Art Unit: 2871

smaller than the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices by 30°, which is in a range of 10° to 40°.

In regard to claim 4, Shigeki et al. teach (Figs 1 and 2) a liquid crystal display apparatus, wherein

- a retardation Δnd₁ obtained by product of a double refractive index Δn₁ of the nematic liquid crystal layer and a thickness d₁ of the liquid crystal layer, the retardation Δnd₁ is 0.87μm, which lies in the range of 0.7 to 0.9 μm,
- a retardation Δnd₂ obtained by product of the double refractive index Δn₂ of the liquid crystal polymer layer and the thickness d₂ of the liquid crystal polymer
 layer, the retardation Δnd₂ is 0.7 μm (Table 3, application example 6, line 6 col. 4)
- the difference $\triangle nd_1 \triangle nd_2 = 0.17$ that lies in the range of 0.1 to 0.3 μm .

In regard to claim 9, Shigeki et al. teach (Figs 1 and 2) a liquid crystal display apparatus, wherein the liquid crystal polymer layer of the twisted phase difference board has a temperature-compensating characteristic in a predetermined temperature range shown in Table 3.

In regard to claim 10, Shigeki et al. teach (Figs 1 and 2) a liquid crystal display apparatus, wherein the liquid crystal polymer layer has a temperature-compensating characteristic in which the retardation (Δ nd₂=0.7 μ m) of the liquid crystal polymer layer is



Art Unit: 2871

always smaller than the retardation (\triangle nd₂ =0.87 μ m) of the nematic liquid crystal layer in a predetermined temperature range (Table 3, application example 6, line 6 col. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeki et al. (JP03294821).

It is conventional that a liquid crystal display apparatus is working in condition of room temperature about 25-30 °C, which is lies in the predetermined temperature range of 20-80 °C.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 5 and 7-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims 3 and 5 are allowable since there is no prior art teaches a combination of:



Art Unit: 2871

- the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board smaller than the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices by 30° in a range of 10° to 40°.
- an angle between the liquid crystal molecule-oriented direction of the alignment film (23a) of the second substrate and the molecule-oriented direction of a lower polymer (32b) of the liquid crystal polymer layer lies in the range of 80° to 90°;
- an angle between an absorption axis of the first polarization board (1) and the liquid crystal molecule-oriented direction of the alignment film (23b) of the first substrate side lies in the range of 50° to 60°;
- an angle between the absorption axis of the second polarization board (4) and the molecule oriented direction of an upper polymer (32a) of the liquid crystal polymer lies in the range of 30° to 40°.
 - Claims 7 and 8 are allowable since they depend on the allowable claims.
- 2. Claims 12 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
 - Claim 12 is allowed with the same reasons above for claim 3 and 5.
 - Claim 13 is allowed since there is no prior art teaches the combination of the claim subjects from a-e, specially c and d.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably



Art Unit: 2871

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on April 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY arguments are follows:

- A. Shigeki et al. fail to disclose the twisted angle of the twisted phase difference board about 200° and the twisted angle of the liquid crystal device about 230°.

 Applicant further states "when a compensation board is used having a twisted angle of 200°, as shown in Example 3 of Table 3, a STN liquid crystal cell having twisted angle of –230° would be selected to form a liquid crystal device having a good white and black display".
- B. Shigeki et al. Refers to a burn-in condition to fabricate a phase conpensation board, not a temperature compensating characteristic of a twisted phase difference board.

Examiner's responses to Applicants' ONLY arguments are follows:

- A. Claim 1 needs two requirements:
- (a) the direction of the twist angle of molecule orientation of the twisted phase difference board is reverse to the direction (in opposite direction) of the twisted orientation of the liquid crystal molecule of the liquid crystal devices,



Art Unit: 2871

(b) the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board is smaller than the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices by 10° to 40°.

Shigeki et al. disclose the direction of the twist angle of molecule orientation of the twisted phase difference board is 200° , thus the twist angle of the twisted phase difference board is $|200^{\circ}| = 200^{\circ}$; and the twisted orientation of the liquid crystal molecule of the liquid crystal devices is -230° for the requirement (a), thus the twist angle of the liquid crystal devices is $|-230^{\circ}| = 230^{\circ}$.

Examiner takes the twist angle as the absolute value of the twist angle of molecule orientation. If not, the requirement (b) cannot be fulfilled (please see the 112 first paragraph rejection above).

B. Shigeki et al. disclose (Table 3) different heat treatment obtaining different twisted angle and retardation value of the twisted phase difference board; therefore, the liquid crystal polymer layer of the twisted phase difference board has a temperature-compensating characteristic in a predetermined temperature range shown in Table 3.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/530,008 Page 10

Art Unit: 2871

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn June 18, 2003

REALTH H. KIM
SUFERINGER SALUE ENGAINER
TO COMPANY CELUZA 2800